

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/506,814	01/19/2005	Andrew Lennard Lewis	Q83535	6809	
23373 YESON TOWN TOWN TOWN TOWN TOWN TOWN TOWN TO			EXAMINER		
			FUBARA, BLESSING M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/506,814	LEWIS ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
BLESSING M. FUBARA	1618				

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	BLESSING M. FUBARA	1618					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 11 CFR and 12 CFR	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this (ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 S	entember 2004						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits							
closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) 1-44 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
5, <u>23</u>	olo oli oli oli oli oli oli oli oli oli						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		, , , , ,					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National	Stage				
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Au - 1							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____

6) Other: _____

Application/Control Number: 10/506,814 Page 2

Art Unit: 1618

Election/Restrictions

 This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as

to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

a) Composition that comprises block polymer that have an overall ionic charge

comprising at least one zwitterionic block having pendant zwitterionic groups and at least one

ionic block comprising ionic groups and biologically active agent having a charge opposite the

charge of the block copolymer; b) process of producing the composition; c) further species such

as anionic biologically active compound, zwitterionic block polymer, zwitterionic group within

species a) and b). Therefore, applicant is requested to elect:

A) a composition according to claim 1 or

B) a process according to claim 23.

In the election of A or B, applicant is further requested to elect single specific disclosed

composition by election a specific zwitterionic block that is completely defined and named by a

single specific disclosed Y, B and X, specific ionic block that is fully defined and named by

single specific disclosed Y1, B1 and Q, and a single zwitterionic group that is completely defined

by single specific product that is a zwitterion; in each case a single specific and disclosed

parameter may be elected to define a variable compound.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify

the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An

Application/Control Number: 10/506,814

Art Unit: 1618

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:Product and process of producing the product.

The following claim(s) are generic: Claim 1 is generic.

- 3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Claim 1 lacks general technical feature because, the a composition comprising block polymer that have an overall ionic charge comprising at least one zwitterionic block having pendant zwitterionic groups and at least one ionic block comprising ionic groups and biologically active agent having a charge opposite the charge of the block copolymer is known in the art (See Storch et al. in WO 98/22517, Kabanov et al. in US 6,440,743 and Bronich et al., "Effects of Block Length and Structure of Surfactant on Self-Assembly and Solution Behavior of Block Complexes," in Langmuir, 2000, Vol. 16, 441-489).
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 1618

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Application/Control Number: 10/506,814

Art Unit: 1618

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP 8 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BLESSING M. FUBARA whose telephone number is (571)272-0594. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. (Monday to Thursday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Blessing M. Fubara/ Examiner, Art Unit 1618